Calendar Year: 2008

Utilization Review Performance Rating of Investigation of a Claims Administrator

Investigation No: URA-N05-08-R1

Claims Administrator: Gregory B. Bragg & Associates

Location: Roseville

Utilization Review Management: Gregory B. Bragg & Associates; Professional Dynamics; CID;

CorVel; Total Healthcare Management

Number of Requests for Auth	orizati	on: Decisions by Type:		
Prospective 2		Approval	30	
Concurrent 0		Modification	8	
Retrospective 52		Delay	3	
		Denial	13	<u> </u>
1. FACTOR FOR UNTIMELY REPONSE	TO REQ	UEST		
# late prospective responses	0	divide by # of prospective requests	2	
# late concurrent responses	0	divide by # of concurrent requests	0	
# late retrospective responses	0	divide by # of retrospective requests	52	
Totals	0	divide by Totals	54	=
				0.00000
2. FACTOR FOR FAULTY NOTICE CON	TENT	7		
# faulty prospective responses	0	divide by # of prospective requests	2	
# faulty concurrent responses	0	divide by # of concurrent requests	0	
# faulty retrospective responses	0	divide by # of retrospective requests	52	
Totals	0	divide by Totals	54	
				0.00000
3. FACTOR FOR IMPROPER DISTRIBU	FION OF	NOTICE		
# prospective w/ improper distribution	0	divide by # of prospective requests	2	
# concurrent w/ improper distribution	0	divide by # of concurrent requests	0	
# retrospective w/ improper distribution	0	divide by # of retrospective requests	52	
Totals	0	Totals	54	
			=	0.00000
UTILIZATION REVIEW PERFORMAN A Utilization Review Performance Rating	-			100.0%

Calendar Year: 2008 Page 1 of 2

Analysis of Penalties and/or Violations Cited for Utilization Review Investigation

Investigation No.: URA-N05-08-R1

Claims Administrator: Gregory B. Bragg & Associates

Location: Roseville

Utilization Review Management: Gregory B. Bragg & Associates; Professional Dynamics; CID;

CorVel; Total Healthcare Management

Number of Utilization Review Requests for Authorization (requests) for Quarter: 576
Requests reviewed: 54 Complaints Reviewed: 2

Type of Violation	Violation of Title 8, CCR §9792.12	# of Violation s	Total \$ Violations Identified	*\$ Not Subject to Assessment	Total \$ Subject to Assessment
Failure to establish a utilization review plan.	§9792.12 (a)(1)	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Failure to maintain a UR plan conforming to all of the requirements of 8CCR§9792.7(a).	§9792.12 (a)(2)	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Failure to file a UR plan or letter in lieu of UR plan with the Administrative Director.	§9792.12 (a)(3)	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Failure to timely file any material modification of a UR plan with the Administrative Director.	§9792.12 (a)(4)	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Failure to employ or designate a physician as medical director of the UR process.	§9792.12 (a)(5)	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Issuance of a decision to modify or delay a request which is not within the reviewer's scope of practice.	§9792.12 (a)(6)	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Modification, delay or denial of a request by a non- physician.	§9792.12 (a)(7)	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Approval of amended request by non-physician without written evidence for submission of amended request.	§9792.12 (a)(8)	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Failure to timely respond to an expedited request.	§9792.12 (a)(9)	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Denial of request solely because it is not addressed by MTUS/ACOEM.	§9792.12 (a)(10)	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Failure to document discussion for care plan for denial of concurrent request.	§9792.12 (a)(11)	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
No response to non-expedited concurrent request.	§9792.12 (a)(12)	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
No response to non-expedited prospective request.	§9792.12 (a)(13)	1	\$1,000	\$400	\$600
No response to a retrospective request.	§9792.12 (a)(14)	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Failure to disclose UR guidelines to the public.	§9792.12 (a)(15)	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Failure of URO or claims administrator to provide documentation of compliance pursuant to 8CCR§9792.11(v)(5).	§9792.12 (a)(16)	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Failure to timely comply with any compliance requirement for the Final Report of UR Investigation.	§9792.12 (a)(17)	0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Calendar Year: 2008 Page 2 of 2

Analysis of Penalties and/or Violations Cited for Utilization Review Investigation

Investigation No.: URA-N05-08-R1

Claims Administrator: Gregory B. Bragg & Associates

Location: Roseville

Utilization Review Management: Gregory B. Bragg & Associates; Professional Dynamics; CID;

CorVel; Total Healthcare Management

	Violation of	# of	Total \$	*\$ Not	Total \$
Type of Violation	Title 8, CCR §9792.12	Violation	Violations Identified	Subject to Assessment	Subject to Assessment
Failure to provide timely notice to all parties of need to extend decision date for request.	\$9792.12 (b)(4)(A)	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Failure to document efforts to obtain information from requesting party prior to denying request.	§9792.12 (b)(4)(B)	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Failure to make and communicate a decision to approve, modify or deny a prospective/concurrent request within 5 days of receiving needed information.	\$9792.12 (b)(4)(C)	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Failure to issue timely retrospective decision within 30 days of receipt of requested information.	§9792.12 (b)(4)(D)	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Incomplete notice of modification, delay or denial.	§9792.12 (b)(4)(E)	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Failure to provide UR criteria/guidelines when requested by patient.	§9792.12 (b)(4)(F)	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Failure to make a timely request for additional information needed for decision for prospective/ concurrent request.	§9792.12 (b)(5)(A)	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Failure to provide timely initial communication of approval for a prospective/concurrent request.	§9792.12 (b)(5)(B)	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Failure to provide timely notice to all parties of decision to modify, delay, or deny a prospective/ concurrent request.	§9792.12 (b)(5)(C)	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Failure to provide timely notice to all parties decision for a retrospective request.	§9792.12 (b)(5)(D)	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Failure to immediately notify the requesting party that decision cannot be made within timeframes.	§9792.12 (b)(5)(E)	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Failure to document need/basis to delay decision.	§9792.12 (b)(5)(F)	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Failure to provide in written notice the reason for delay in making a decision.	§9792.12 (b)(5)(G)	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Absent a time extension, failure to make a timely decision for a prospective/concurrent request.	§9792.9 (b)(1)	0	N/A	N/A	\$0
Absent a time extension, failure to provide a timely written notice of decision for a prospective/ concurrent request.	§9792.9 (b)(3)	0	N/A	N/A	\$0
Absent a time extension, failure to provide initial notice for modification, delay or denial of a prospective/concurrent request.	§9792.9 (b)(4)	0	N/A	N/A	\$0
TOTAL		1	\$1,000	\$400	\$600

^{*}Penalties for violations in 8 CCR § 9792.12(a) are mandatory and cannot be waived but may be mitigated depending on factors in § 9792.13. Penalties under § 9792.12(b)(4) and (b)(5) may be waived per § 9792.12(b)(2) and mitigated per § 9792.13.